



SCHOOL & COLLEGE LEGAL SERVICES OF CALIFORNIA

A Joint Powers Authority
serving school and
college districts
throughout the state.

5350 Skylane Boulevard
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Tel: (707) 524-2690
Fax: (707) 578-0517
santarosa@sclscal.org
www.sclscal.org

General Counsel
Carl D. Corbin

Attorneys
Ellie R. Austin
Monica D. Batanero
Nancy L. Klein
Damara L. Moore
Jennifer E. Nix
Steven P. Reiner
Mia N. Robertshaw
Loren W. Soukup
Erin E. Stagg
Frank Zotter, Jr.

Of Counsel
Robert J. Henry
Margaret M. Merchat

LEGAL UPDATE

October 4, 2017

To: Superintendents/Presidents/Chancellors, Member Community
College Districts

From: Damara L. Moore, Senior Associate General Counsel *DM*
Ellie R. Austin, Schools Legal Counsel *ERA*

Subject: OCR Withdraws Significant Title IX Guidance; Issues New Dear
Colleague Letter and Q&A on Title IX
Memo No. 20-2017(CC)

On September 22, 2017, the Department of Education issued a Dear Colleague Letter which withdrew guidance on Title IX previously provided by the Office of Civil Rights (“OCR”) (“2017 Dear Colleague Letter”).¹ OCR utilizes “Dear Colleague” letters to help clarify how OCR will apply existing laws to schools, districts, and educational institutions of higher learning (hereinafter “schools”). The withdrawn guidance addressed investigations of Title IX complaints of student-on-student sexual violence. Simultaneously, OCR issued a Question and Answer on Campus Sexual Misconduct² (“2017 Q&A”) to provide information regarding how OCR will evaluate a school’s compliance with Title IX under the new guidance.³

Title IX applies to public and private elementary and secondary schools, school districts, colleges and universities receiving federal financial assistance. It prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex, including sexual harassment, in federally funded education programs.⁴

I. The Withdrawn Guidance

The 2017 Dear Colleague Letter withdraws two documents issued by OCR under the Obama Administration: the 2011 Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Violence (“2011 Dear Colleague Letter”) and the 2014 Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence (“2014 Q&A”). The former guidance was significant in that it specifically stated that sexual violence is a form of sexual harassment, and was thus prohibited under Title IX. OCR stated that the reason for the withdrawal of the 2011 and 2014 guidance documents was that they did not adequately ensure that the due process

¹ Available at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/colleague-title-ix-201709.pdf>

² In the 2017 Q&A, OCR defines sexual misconduct to include “peer-on-peer sexual harassment and sexual violence.”

³ References to the new guidance within this Legal Update are to the 2017 Q&A unless otherwise noted.

⁴ 20 U.S.C. § 1681 and 34 C.F.R. § 106.



rights of the responding party were protected. Additionally, OCR took issue with the fact that the 2011 and 2014 guidance documents were adopted without notice and an opportunity for public comment.

II. New Guidance

The 2017 Dear Colleague Letter explicitly refers schools to OCR’s 2001 Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance (“2001 Guidance”) and 2006 Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Harassment⁵ (“2006 Dear Colleague Letter”) to understand their continuing obligations to address sexual misconduct in education programs and activities. The new guidance also discusses a number of other topics, including: interim measures, grievance procedures and investigations, informal resolutions of complaints, the decision-making process, notices of the outcome, the right to appeal, the Clery Act’s reporting requirements,⁶ and the effect of the rescission of the former guidance on previously-entered voluntary resolution agreements.

a. **What Is the Same**

Much remains the same under the new guidance. Schools continue to have a responsibility to promptly and effectively address sexual misconduct, prevent its recurrence, and remedy its effects.⁷ Schools continue to have an obligation to designate a Title IX coordinator to ensure they are meeting their Title IX obligations. The new guidance affirms that schools are deemed to have notice of sexual misconduct when a “responsible employee” knows or should know of such conduct.⁸ Schools must still adopt grievance procedures to address sexual misconduct. When conducting an investigation, schools have the burden to gather evidence and conduct a fair, impartial investigation. The current guidance, like the previous guidance, acknowledges that during the period of time that adjudication is pending, interim steps may be taken to separate the reporting and responding parties. The new guidance continues to recognize that schools may need to address issues which arise due to off-campus misconduct if it creates a hostile educational environment in educational programs or activities. When addressing allegations of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, community colleges must continue to comply with Title IX and the Clery Act.

As under the previous guidance, each party is entitled to access the same processes and information as the other party during the school’s investigation. In disciplinary proceedings relating to allegations of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, schools may not limit the presence of an advisor to either party during a hearing, although they may limit restrictions on advocates’ participation.

⁵ Available at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/sexhar-2006.html>

⁶ These reporting requirements are unaffected by the 2017 Dear Colleague Letter.

⁷ 2001 Guidance, V.B.; 2006 Dear Colleague Letter.

⁸ A “responsible employee” remains, as previously defined, “any employee who has the authority to take action to redress the harassment, who has the duty to report to appropriate school officials sexual harassment or any other misconduct by students or employees or an individual who a student could reasonably believe has this authority or responsibility.” 2001 Guidance V.C.



b. What Is Different

This Legal Update highlights many of the changes that are made by the new guidance, but is not a comprehensive list of all changes.

Timeframe. Title IX investigations no longer must be concluded within 60 calendar days. Instead, the guidance provides that “[t]here is no fixed time frame under which a school must complete” its investigation. OCR will now evaluate on a case-by-case basis a “school’s good faith effort to conduct a fair, impartial investigation in a timely manner.”

Interim Remedies. The 2017 Q&A provides that interim measures might be appropriate for either the reporting or the responding parties prior to an investigation or while an investigation is pending. This is a departure from previous OCR guidance, where interim measures were offered only to the reporting party.

Standard of Evidence. Significantly, the 2017 Q&A provides that schools may apply *either* the preponderance of the evidence standard or the clear and convincing evidence standard. The clear and convincing evidence standard represents a higher standard of proof, somewhere in between preponderance of the evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt. Previous guidance provided that all Title IX investigations must proceed using the preponderance of the evidence standard. The new guidance also requires that the standard of proof utilized for evaluating a claim of sexual misconduct be consistent with the standard that applies in other student misconduct cases. In other words, a school cannot use the preponderance of the evidence standard in sexual misconduct cases but the clear and convincing evidence standard in plagiarism cases.

Informal Resolution for Allegations of Sexual Assault. Previous Title IX guidance provided that allegations of sexual assault could *not* be resolved using an informal mediation process, even if both the reporting and responding parties agreed. The new guidance allows schools to facilitate voluntary resolution processes, such as mediation, for any Title IX complaint, including those involving allegations of sexual assault.

Rights During Decision Making Process. The new guidance makes explicit the requirement that both the reporting and responding parties have access to any information that will be used during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings, including the investigation report, and provides that the responding party must have the opportunity to respond to the report in writing in advance of any decision about responsibility and/or hearing.

Notice of Outcome of Disciplinary Proceedings. The new guidance provides that a “written notice of the outcome of disciplinary proceedings” must be provided to both the reporting and responding parties, and recommends that both parties be notified “concurrently.” For elementary and secondary schools and for allegations at the postsecondary level that do not involve Clery crimes,⁹ the notice must inform the reporting party whether the investigation found that the alleged conduct occurred, any individual remedies offered to the reporting party, any sanctions imposed on the responding party that relate directly to the reporting party, and other steps the school has taken to eliminate the hostile environment. In elementary and secondary schools, the notice should be provided to the parents of students under 18 and directly to students who are 18 or older.

⁹ The 2017 Q&A also incorporates the requirements under the Clery Act with respect to this written notification.



Obligation to Produce Written Report. The 2017 guidance mandates that any investigation under Title IX that may lead to disciplinary action against the responding party must result in a written investigation report “summarizing the relevant exculpatory and inculpatory evidence.”

Right to Cross-Examine. The 2017 guidance makes clear that if one party is permitted to cross-examine the other party, that right must extend to the other party.

Right to Appeal. Under the former guidance, if a school granted a right to appeal investigation findings, the school was required to allow both parties the right to appeal. Under the new guidance, if a school chooses to allow appeals from either its decision regarding responsibility or its disciplinary sanctions, it may choose to allow an appeal only for the responding party or for both parties.

III. **Impact**

Despite the withdrawal of two major guidance documents, the majority of schools’ Title IX obligations remain intact. Many other advisory letters and guides related to sex discrimination and harassment remain in place,¹⁰ and can assist schools in understanding their continuing obligations under Title IX.

However, with the increased focus by OCR on the responding party’s due process rights, schools should examine their policies and practices to ensure they provide due process to those under investigation for sexual misconduct. Schools may also reconsider and heighten the standard of proof they believe is appropriate in such investigations. Counsel should be consulted to ensure any new policies are in alignment with the changes in the law.

Additionally, the 2017 Q&A provides that voluntary resolution agreements previously entered into between a school and OCR remain binding on the school.

OCR has indicated that it will engage in rulemaking after a public comment process. This will allow schools the ability to provide input into the development of new regulations related to Title IX’s requirements for investigating student-on-student sexual misconduct. The Department of Education has not released any dates for the public comment period as of the time of publication of this Legal Update; however, we will keep our clients updated on this developing issue.

Please contact our office with questions regarding this Legal Update or any other legal matter.

The information in this Legal Update is provided as a summary of law and is not intended as legal advice. Application of the law may vary depending on the particular facts and circumstances at issue. We, therefore, recommend that you consult legal counsel to advise you on how the law applies to your specific situation.

© 2017 School and College Legal Services of California

All rights reserved. However, SCLS grants permission to any current SCLS client to use, reproduce, and distribute this Legal Update in its entirety for the client’s own non-commercial purposes.

¹⁰ Including the 2001 Revised Sexual Harassment guidance, the 2006 Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Harassment Issues, the 2015 Dear Colleague Letter on Title IX Coordinators, and the 2015 Title IX Resource Guide.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

U.S. Department of Education
Office for Civil Rights

Notice of Language Assistance

Notice of Language Assistance: If you have difficulty understanding English, you may, free of charge, request language assistance services for this Department information by calling 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), or email us at: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Aviso a personas con dominio limitado del idioma inglés: Si usted tiene alguna dificultad en entender el idioma inglés, puede, sin costo alguno, solicitar asistencia lingüística con respecto a esta información llamando al 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o envíe un mensaje de correo electrónico a: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

給英語能力有限人士的通知: 如果您不懂英語，或者使用英語有困難，您可以要求獲得向大眾提供的語言協助服務，幫助您理解教育部資訊。這些語言協助服務均可免費提供。如果您需要有關口譯或筆譯服務的詳細資訊，請致電 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (聽語障人士專線：1-800-877-8339),或電郵: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Thông báo dành cho những người có khả năng Anh ngữ hạn chế: Nếu quý vị gặp khó khăn trong việc hiểu Anh ngữ thì quý vị có thể yêu cầu các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ cho các tin tức của Bộ dành cho công chúng. Các dịch vụ hỗ trợ ngôn ngữ này đều miễn phí. Nếu quý vị muốn biết thêm chi tiết về các dịch vụ phiên dịch hay thông dịch, xin vui lòng gọi số 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), hoặc email: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

영어 미숙자를 위한 공고: 영어를 이해하는 데 어려움이 있으신 경우, 교육부 정보 센터에 일반인 대상 언어 지원 서비스를 요청하실 수 있습니다. 이러한 언어 지원 서비스는 무료로 제공됩니다. 통역이나 번역 서비스에 대해 자세한 정보가 필요하신 경우, 전화번호 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) 또는 청각 장애인용 전화번호 1-800-877-8339 또는 이메일주소 Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov 으로 연락하시기 바랍니다.

Paunawa sa mga Taong Limitado ang Kaalaman sa English: Kung nahihirapan kayong makaintindi ng English, maaari kayong humingi ng tulong ukol dito sa inpormasyon ng Kagawaran mula sa nagbibigay ng serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika. Ang serbisyo na pagtulong kaugnay ng wika ay libre. Kung kailangan ninyo ng dagdag na impormasyon tungkol sa mga serbisyo kaugnay ng pagpapaliwanag o pagsasalin, mangyari lamang tumawag sa 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (TTY: 1-800-877-8339), o mag-email sa: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

Уведомление для лиц с ограниченным знанием английского языка: Если вы испытываете трудности в понимании английского языка, вы можете попросить, чтобы вам предоставили перевод информации, которую Министерство Образования доводит до всеобщего сведения. Этот перевод предоставляется бесплатно. Если вы хотите получить более подробную информацию об услугах устного и письменного перевода, звоните по телефону 1-800-USA-LEARN (1-800-872-5327) (служба для слабослышащих: 1-800-877-8339), или отправьте сообщение по адресу: Ed.Language.Assistance@ed.gov.

400 MARYLAND AVE. S.W., WASHINGTON, DC 20202-1100
www.ed.gov

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

September 22, 2017

Dear Colleague:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that the Department of Education is withdrawing the statements of policy and guidance reflected in the following documents:

- Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Violence, issued by the Office for Civil Rights at the U.S. Department of Education, dated April 4, 2011.
- Questions and Answers on Title IX and Sexual Violence, issued by the Office for Civil Rights at the U.S. Department of Education, dated April 29, 2014.

These guidance documents interpreted Title IX to impose new mandates related to the procedures by which educational institutions investigate, adjudicate, and resolve allegations of student-on-student sexual misconduct. The 2011 Dear Colleague Letter required schools to adopt a minimal standard of proof—the preponderance-of-the-evidence standard—in administering student discipline, even though many schools had traditionally employed a higher clear-and-convincing-evidence standard. The Letter insisted that schools with an appeals process allow complainants to appeal not-guilty findings, even though many schools had previously followed procedures reserving appeal for accused students. The Letter discouraged cross-examination by the parties, suggesting that to recognize a right to such cross-examination might violate Title IX. The Letter forbade schools from relying on investigations of criminal conduct by law-enforcement authorities to resolve Title IX complaints, forcing schools to establish policing and judicial systems while at the same time directing schools to resolve complaints on an expedited basis. The Letter provided that any due-process protections afforded to accused students should not “unnecessarily delay” resolving the charges against them.

Legal commentators have criticized the 2011 Letter and the 2014 Questions and Answers for placing “improper pressure upon universities to adopt procedures that do not afford fundamental fairness.”¹ As a result, many schools have established procedures for resolving allegations that “lack the most basic elements of fairness and due process, are overwhelmingly stacked against the accused, and are in no way required by Title IX law or regulation.”²

The 2011 and 2014 guidance documents may have been well-intentioned, but those documents have

¹ Open Letter from Members of the Penn Law School Faculty, *Sexual Assault Complaints: Protecting Complainants and the Accused Students at Universities*, WALL ST. J. ONLINE (Feb. 18, 2015), http://online.wsj.com/public/resources/documents/2015_0218_upenn.pdf (statement of 16 members of the University of Pennsylvania Law School faculty).

² *Rethink Harvard’s Sexual Harassment Policy*, BOSTON GLOBE (Oct. 15, 2014) (statement of 28 members of the Harvard Law School faculty); see also ABA CRIMINAL JUSTICE SECTION TASK FORCE ON COLLEGE DUE PROCESS RIGHTS AND VICTIM PROTECTIONS, *RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES IN RESOLVING ALLEGATIONS OF CAMPUS SEXUAL MISCONDUCT* (2017); AMERICAN COLLEGE OF TRIAL LAWYERS, *TASK FORCE ON THE RESPONSE OF UNIVERSITIES AND COLLEGES TO ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE, WHITE PAPER ON CAMPUS SEXUAL ASSAULT INVESTIGATIONS* (2017).



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS

September 2017

Q&A on Campus Sexual Misconduct

Under Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 and its implementing regulations, an institution that receives federal funds must ensure that no student suffers a deprivation of her or his access to educational opportunities on the basis of sex. The Department of Education intends to engage in rulemaking on the topic of schools' Title IX responsibilities concerning complaints of sexual misconduct, including peer-on-peer sexual harassment and sexual violence. The Department will solicit input from stakeholders and the public during that rulemaking process. In the interim, these questions and answers—along with the *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance* previously issued by the Office for Civil Rights¹—provide information about how OCR will assess a school's compliance with Title IX.

SCHOOLS' RESPONSIBILITY TO ADDRESS SEXUAL MISCONDUCT

Question 1:

What is the nature of a school's responsibility to address sexual misconduct?

Answer:

Whether or not a student files a complaint of alleged sexual misconduct or otherwise asks the school to take action, where the school knows or reasonably should know of an incident of sexual misconduct, the school must take steps to understand what occurred and to respond appropriately.² In particular, when sexual misconduct is so severe, persistent, or pervasive as to deny or limit a student's ability to participate in or benefit from the school's programs or activities, a hostile environment exists and the school must respond.³

¹ Office for Civil Rights, *Revised Sexual Harassment Guidance* (66 Fed. Reg. 5512, Jan. 19, 2001), available at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/docs/shguide.pdf> [hereinafter 2001 Guidance]; see also Office for Civil Rights, Dear Colleague Letter on Sexual Harassment (Jan. 25, 2006), available at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/letters/sexhar-2006.html>.

² 2001 Guidance at (VII).

³ *Davis v. Monroe Cty. Bd. of Educ.*, 526 U.S. 629, 631 (1999); 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(a); 2001 Guidance at (V)(A)(1). Title IX prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex “under any education program or activity” receiving federal financial assistance, 20 U.S.C. § 1681(a); 34 C.F.R. § 106.1, meaning within the “operations” of a postsecondary institution or school district, 20 U.S.C. § 1687; 34 C.F.R. § 106.2(h). The Supreme Court has explained that the statute “confines the scope of prohibited conduct based on the recipient’s degree of control over the harasser and the environment in which the harassment occurs.” *Davis*, 526 U.S. at 644. Accordingly, OCR has informed institutions that “[a] university does not have a duty under Title IX to address an incident of alleged harassment where the incident occurs off-campus and does not involve a program or activity of the recipient.” Oklahoma State University Determination Letter at 2, OCR Complaint No. 06-03-2054 (June 10, 2004); see also University of Wisconsin-Madison Determination Letter, OCR Complaint No. 05-07-2074 (Aug. 6, 2009) (“OCR determined that the alleged assault did not occur in the context of an educational program or activity operated by the University.”). Schools are responsible for redressing a hostile environment that occurs on campus even if it relates to off-campus activities. Under the Clery Act, postsecondary institutions are obliged to collect and report statistics on crimes that occur on campus, on noncampus properties controlled by the institution or an affiliated student organization and used for educational purposes, on public property within or immediately adjacent to campus, and in areas within the patrol jurisdiction of the campus police or the campus security department. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(a); 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(c).

Each recipient must designate at least one employee to act as a Title IX Coordinator to coordinate its responsibilities in this area.⁴ Other employees may be considered “responsible employees” and will help the student to connect to the Title IX Coordinator.⁵

In regulating the conduct of students and faculty to prevent or redress discrimination, schools must formulate, interpret, and apply their rules in a manner that respects the legal rights of students and faculty, including those court precedents interpreting the concept of free speech.⁶

THE CLERY ACT AND TITLE IX

Question 2:

What is the Clery Act and how does it relate to a school's obligations under Title IX?

Answer:

Institutions of higher education that participate in the federal student financial aid programs are subject to the requirements of the Clery Act as well as Title IX.⁷ Each year, institutions must disclose campus crime statistics and information about campus security policies as a condition of participating in the federal student aid programs. The Violence Against Women Reauthorization Act of 2013 amended the Clery Act to require institutions to compile statistics for incidents of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking, and to include certain policies, procedures, and programs pertaining to these incidents in the annual security reports. In October 2014, following a negotiated rulemaking process, the Department issued amended regulations to implement these statutory changes.⁸ Accordingly, when addressing allegations of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, institutions are subject to the Clery Act regulations as well as Title IX.

INTERIM MEASURES

Question 3:

What are interim measures and is a school required to provide such measures?

Answer:

Interim measures are individualized services offered as appropriate to either or both the reporting and responding parties involved in an alleged incident of sexual misconduct, prior to an investigation or while an investigation is pending.⁹ Interim measures include counseling, extensions of time or other course-related adjustments, modifications of work or class schedules, campus escort services, restrictions on contact between the parties, changes in work or housing locations, leaves of absence, increased security and monitoring of certain areas of campus, and other similar accommodations.

⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(a).

⁵ 2001 Guidance at (V)(C).

⁶ Office for Civil Rights, Dear Colleague Letter on the First Amendment (July 28, 2003), *available at* <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocr/firstamend.html>; 2001 Guidance at (XI).

⁷ Jeanne Clery Disclosure of Campus Security Policy and Campus Crime Statistics Act, Pub. L. No. 101-542, 20 U.S.C. § 1092(f).

⁸ *See* 34 C.F.R. § 668.46.

⁹ *See* 2001 Guidance at (VII)(A).

It may be appropriate for a school to take interim measures during the investigation of a complaint.¹⁰ In fairly assessing the need for a party to receive interim measures, a school may not rely on fixed rules or operating assumptions that favor one party over another, nor may a school make such measures available only to one party. Interim measures should be individualized and appropriate based on the information gathered by the Title IX Coordinator, making every effort to avoid depriving any student of her or his education. The measures needed by each student may change over time, and the Title IX Coordinator should communicate with each student throughout the investigation to ensure that any interim measures are necessary and effective based on the students' evolving needs.

GRIEVANCE PROCEDURES AND INVESTIGATIONS

Question 4:

What are the school's obligations with regard to complaints of sexual misconduct?

Answer:

A school must adopt and publish grievance procedures that provide for a prompt and equitable resolution of complaints of sex discrimination, including sexual misconduct.¹¹ OCR has identified a number of elements in evaluating whether a school's grievance procedures are prompt and equitable, including whether the school (i) provides notice of the school's grievance procedures, including how to file a complaint, to students, parents of elementary and secondary school students, and employees; (ii) applies the grievance procedures to complaints filed by students or on their behalf alleging sexual misconduct carried out by employees, other students, or third parties; (iii) ensures an adequate, reliable, and impartial investigation of complaints, including the opportunity to present witnesses and other evidence; (iv) designates and follows a reasonably prompt time frame for major stages of the complaint process; (v) notifies the parties of the outcome of the complaint; and (vi) provides assurance that the school will take steps to prevent recurrence of sexual misconduct and to remedy its discriminatory effects, as appropriate.¹²

Question 5:

What time frame constitutes a "prompt" investigation?

Answer:

There is no fixed time frame under which a school must complete a Title IX investigation.¹³ OCR will evaluate a school's good faith effort to conduct a fair, impartial investigation in a timely manner designed to provide all parties with resolution.

Question 6:

What constitutes an "equitable" investigation?

¹⁰ 2001 Guidance at (VII)(A). In cases covered by the Clery Act, a school must provide interim measures upon the request of a reporting party if such measures are reasonably available. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(b)(11)(v).

¹¹ 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(b); 2001 Guidance at (V)(D); *see also* 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(i) (providing that a proceeding which arises from an allegation of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking must "[i]nclude a prompt, fair, and impartial process from the initial investigation to the final result").

¹² 2001 Guidance at (IX); *see also* 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k). Postsecondary institutions are required to report publicly the procedures for institutional disciplinary action in cases of alleged dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking, 34 C.F.R. § 668.46 (k)(1)(i), and to include a process that allows for the extension of timeframes for good cause with written notice to the parties of the delay and the reason for the delay, 34 C.F.R. § 668.46 (k)(3)(i)(A).

¹³ 2001 Guidance at (IX); *see also* 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(3)(i)(A).

Answer:

In every investigation conducted under the school's grievance procedures, the burden is on the school—not on the parties—to gather sufficient evidence to reach a fair, impartial determination as to whether sexual misconduct has occurred and, if so, whether a hostile environment has been created that must be redressed. A person free of actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest and biases for or against any party must lead the investigation on behalf of the school. Schools should ensure that institutional interests do not interfere with the impartiality of the investigation.

An equitable investigation of a Title IX complaint requires a trained investigator to analyze and document the available evidence to support reliable decisions, objectively evaluate the credibility of parties and witnesses, synthesize all available evidence—including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence—and take into account the unique and complex circumstances of each case.¹⁴

Any rights or opportunities that a school makes available to one party during the investigation should be made available to the other party on equal terms.¹⁵ Restricting the ability of either party to discuss the investigation (e.g., through “gag orders”) is likely to deprive the parties of the ability to obtain and present evidence or otherwise to defend their interests and therefore is likely inequitable. Training materials or investigative techniques and approaches that apply sex stereotypes or generalizations may violate Title IX and should be avoided so that the investigation proceeds objectively and impartially.¹⁶

Once it decides to open an investigation that may lead to disciplinary action against the responding party, a school should provide written notice to the responding party of the allegations constituting a potential violation of the school's sexual misconduct policy, including sufficient details and with sufficient time to prepare a response before any initial interview. Sufficient details include the identities of the parties involved, the specific section of the code of conduct allegedly violated, the precise conduct allegedly constituting the potential violation, and the date and location of the alleged incident.¹⁷ Each party should receive written notice in advance of any interview or hearing with sufficient time to prepare for meaningful participation. The investigation should result in a written report summarizing the relevant exculpatory and inculpatory evidence. The reporting and responding parties and appropriate officials must have timely and equal access to any information that will be used during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings.¹⁸

INFORMAL RESOLUTIONS OF COMPLAINTS

Question 7:

After a Title IX complaint has been opened for investigation, may a school facilitate an informal resolution of the complaint?

Answer:

If all parties voluntarily agree to participate in an informal resolution that does not involve a full investigation and adjudication after receiving a full disclosure of the allegations and their options for formal resolution and if a school determines that the particular Title IX complaint is appropriate for such a process, the school may facilitate an informal resolution, including mediation, to assist the parties in reaching a voluntary resolution.

¹⁴ 2001 Guidance at (V)(A)(1)-(2); *see also* 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(ii).

¹⁵ 2001 Guidance at (X).

¹⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 106.31(a).

¹⁷ 2001 Guidance at (VII)(B).

¹⁸ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(3)(i)(B)(3).

DECISION-MAKING AS TO RESPONSIBILITY

Question 8:

What procedures should a school follow to adjudicate a finding of responsibility for sexual misconduct?

Answer:

The investigator(s), or separate decision-maker(s), with or without a hearing, must make findings of fact and conclusions as to whether the facts support a finding of responsibility for violation of the school's sexual misconduct policy. If the complaint presented more than a single allegation of misconduct, a decision should be reached separately as to each allegation of misconduct. The findings of fact and conclusions should be reached by applying either a preponderance of the evidence standard or a clear and convincing evidence standard.¹⁹

The decision-maker(s) must offer each party the same meaningful access to any information that will be used during informal and formal disciplinary meetings and hearings, including the investigation report.²⁰ The parties should have the opportunity to respond to the report in writing in advance of the decision of responsibility and/or at a live hearing to decide responsibility.

Any process made available to one party in the adjudication procedure should be made equally available to the other party (for example, the right to have an attorney or other advisor present and/or participate in an interview or hearing; the right to cross-examine parties and witnesses or to submit questions to be asked of parties and witnesses).²¹ When resolving allegations of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, a postsecondary institution must "[p]rovide the accuser and the accused with the same opportunities to have others present during any institutional disciplinary proceeding, including the opportunity to be accompanied to any related meeting or proceeding by the advisor of their choice."²² In such disciplinary proceedings and any related meetings, the institution may "[n]ot limit the choice of advisor or presence for either the accuser or the accused" but "may establish restrictions regarding the extent to which the advisor may participate in the proceedings."²³

Schools are cautioned to avoid conflicts of interest and biases in the adjudicatory process and to prevent institutional interests from interfering with the impartiality of the adjudication. Decision-making techniques or approaches that apply sex stereotypes or generalizations may violate Title IX and should be avoided so that the adjudication proceeds objectively and impartially.

¹⁹ The standard of evidence for evaluating a claim of sexual misconduct should be consistent with the standard the school applies in other student misconduct cases. In a recent decision, a court concluded that a school denied "basic fairness" to a responding party by, among other things, applying a lower standard of evidence only in cases of alleged sexual misconduct. *Doe v. Brandeis Univ.*, 177 F. Supp. 3d 561, 607 (D. Mass. 2016) ("[T]he lowering of the standard appears to have been a deliberate choice by the university to make cases of sexual misconduct easier to prove—and thus more difficult to defend, both for guilty and innocent students alike. It retained the higher standard for virtually all other forms of student misconduct. The lower standard may thus be seen, in context, as part of an effort to tilt the playing field against accused students, which is particularly troublesome in light of the elimination of other basic rights of the accused."). When a school applies special procedures in sexual misconduct cases, it suggests a discriminatory purpose and should be avoided. A postsecondary institution's annual security report must describe the standard of evidence that will be used during any institutional disciplinary proceeding arising from an allegation of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(1)(ii).

²⁰ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(3)(i)(B)(3).

²¹ A school has discretion to reserve a right of appeal for the responding party based on its evaluation of due process concerns, as noted in Question 11.

²² 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(iii).

²³ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(iv).

DECISION-MAKING AS TO DISCIPLINARY SANCTIONS

Question 9:

What procedures should a school follow to impose a disciplinary sanction against a student found responsible for a sexual misconduct violation?

Answer:

The decision-maker as to any disciplinary sanction imposed after a finding of responsibility may be the same or different from the decision-maker who made the finding of responsibility. Disciplinary sanction decisions must be made for the purpose of deciding how best to enforce the school's code of student conduct while considering the impact of separating a student from her or his education. Any disciplinary decision must be made as a proportionate response to the violation.²⁴ In its annual security report, a postsecondary institution must list all of the possible sanctions that the institution may impose following the results of any institutional disciplinary proceeding for an allegation of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking.²⁵

NOTICE OF OUTCOME AND APPEALS

Question 10:

What information should be provided to the parties to notify them of the outcome?

Answer:

OCR recommends that a school provide written notice of the outcome of disciplinary proceedings to the reporting and responding parties concurrently. The content of the notice may vary depending on the underlying allegations, the institution, and the age of the students. Under the Clery Act, postsecondary institutions must provide simultaneous written notification to both parties of the results of the disciplinary proceeding along with notification of the institution's procedures to appeal the result if such procedures are available, and any changes to the result when it becomes final.²⁶ This notification must include any initial, interim, or final decision by the institution; any sanctions imposed by the institution; and the rationale for the result and the sanctions.²⁷ For proceedings not covered by the Clery Act, such as those arising from allegations of harassment, and for all proceedings in elementary and secondary schools, the school should inform the reporting party whether it found that the alleged conduct occurred, any individual remedies offered to the reporting party or any sanctions imposed on the responding party that directly relate to the reporting party, and other steps the school has taken to eliminate the hostile environment, if the school found one to exist.²⁸ In an elementary or secondary school, the notice should be provided to the parents of students under the age of 18 and directly to students who are 18 years of age or older.²⁹

²⁴ 34 C.F.R. § 106.8(b); 2001 Guidance at (VII)(A).

²⁵ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(1)(iii).

²⁶ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(v). The Clery Act applies to proceedings arising from allegations of dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking.

²⁷ 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(3)(iv).

²⁸ A sanction that directly relates to the reporting party would include, for example, an order that the responding party stay away from the reporting party. *See* 2001 Guidance at vii n.3. This limitation allows the notice of outcome to comply with the requirements of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act. *See* 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(1)(A); 34 C.F.R. § 99.10; 34 C.F.R. § 99.12(a). FERPA provides an exception to its requirements only for a postsecondary institution to communicate the results of a disciplinary proceeding to the reporting party in cases of alleged crimes of violence or specific nonforcible sex offenses. 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(b)(6); 34 C.F.R. § 99.31(a)(13).

²⁹ 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(d).

Question 11:

How may a school offer the right to appeal the decision on responsibility and/or any disciplinary decision?

Answer:

If a school chooses to allow appeals from its decisions regarding responsibility and/or disciplinary sanctions, the school may choose to allow appeal (i) solely by the responding party; or (ii) by both parties, in which case any appeal procedures must be equally available to both parties.³⁰

EXISTING RESOLUTION AGREEMENTS

Question 12:

In light of the rescission of OCR's 2011 Dear Colleague Letter and 2014 Questions & Answers guidance, are existing resolution agreements between OCR and schools still binding?

Answer:

Yes. Schools enter into voluntary resolution agreements with OCR to address the deficiencies and violations identified during an OCR investigation based on Title IX and its implementing regulations. Existing resolution agreements remain binding upon the schools that voluntarily entered into them. Such agreements are fact-specific and do not bind other schools. If a school has questions about an existing resolution agreement, the school may contact the appropriate OCR regional office responsible for the monitoring of its agreement.

Note: The Department has determined that this Q&A is a significant guidance document under the Final Bulletin for Agency Good Guidance Practices of the Office of Management and Budget, 72 Fed. Reg. 3432 (Jan. 25, 2007). This document does not add requirements to applicable law. If you have questions or are interested in commenting on this document, please contact the Department of Education at ocr@ed.gov or 800-421-3481 (TDD: 800-877-8339).

³⁰ 2001 Guidance at (IX). Under the Clery Act, a postsecondary institution must provide simultaneous notification of the appellate procedure, if one is available, to both parties. 34 C.F.R. § 668.46(k)(2)(v)(B). OCR has previously informed schools that it is permissible to allow an appeal only for the responding party because "he/she is the one who stands to suffer from any penalty imposed and should not be made to be tried twice for the same allegation." Skidmore College Determination Letter at 5, OCR Complaint No. 02-95-2136 (Feb. 12, 1996); *see also* Suffolk University Law School Determination Letter at 11, OCR Complaint No. 01-05-2074 (Sept. 30, 2008) ("[A]ppeal rights are not necessarily required by Title IX, whereas an accused student's appeal rights are a standard component of University disciplinary processes in order to assure that the student is afforded due process before being removed from or otherwise disciplined by the University."); University of Cincinnati Determination Letter at 6, OCR Complaint No. 15-05-2041 (Apr. 13, 2006) ("[T]here is no requirement under Title IX that a recipient provide a victim's right of appeal.").